

DELEGATED

**AGENDA NO
PLANNING COMMITTEE**

3 FEBRUARY 2010

**REPORT OF CORPORATE DIRECTOR,
DEVELOPMENT AND NEIGHBOURHOOD
SERVICES**

09/3015/LA

**Land at Tanners Bank/Poplar Road, Eaglescliffe, Stockton-on-Tees
Erection of 2 no. 15 metre high CCTV relay poles**

Expiry Date 5 February 2010

SUMMARY

This application seeks planning permission for the erection of 2 CCTV relay poles; one pole will be located at Tanners Bank, Aislaby Road, Eaglescliffe and the second relay pole will be located at Poplar Road, Eaglescliffe. The poles will measure a maximum of 15m in height x 0.35m in width x 0.4m and do not contain any camera equipment.

The proposed 15m high CCTV relay pole at Tanners Bank will be located on the south side of the highway (Aislaby Road) and will be adjacent to the railway bridge. The proposal will be erected against a backdrop of mature trees and planting and will be located next an existing street lighting column. The proposed pole at Poplar Road will be located on a small strip of grassed area, which lies adjacent to the highways of Urlay Nook Road (west) and Poplar Road (east).

The main planning considerations of this application are the impact on visual and residential amenity, and the impact on existing landscaping features within the site and any residual matters.

No objections have been received from The Acting Head of Technical Services, the Council's Landscape Architect or the Environmental Health Unit.

5 letters of representation have been received from neighbouring properties; 4 letters have been received from residents within vicinity of the site at Poplar Road, who have raised concerns with regard to the impact of the poles on the signals of domestic appliances, and the impact on health and safety. 1 letter of objection has been received from Prospect House, which is located within vicinity of the proposed site at Tanners Bank. The objection relates to the impact on the surrounding area, the impact on health and safety and several other matters related to the application being invalid.

The application is being reported to the Planning Committee for determination as it is a development by Stockton on Tees Borough Council and the proposal is not within the scheme of delegation.

It is considered that the locations of the 2 proposed CCTV relay poles are acceptable and that the proposals will not have a significant adverse impact on the visual amenity of the surrounding areas or lead to a loss of amenity for neighbouring properties. It is considered that the proposals will not adversely affect existing landscape features or lead to an adverse loss of highway or public safety. It is also considered that the proposed scheme will not give rise to any adverse health and safety implications or affect the frequency of the signal to domestic appliances.

RECOMMENDATION

Planning application 09/3015/LA be Approved subject to the following conditions:

Approved Plans

01 *The development hereby approved shall be in accordance with the following approved plan(s); unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.*

<i>Plan Reference Number</i>	<i>Date on Plan</i>
1	11 December 2009
2	11 December 2009
3	11 December 2009
4	11 December 2009
5	11 December 2009

Reason: To define the consent.

Finishing colours of relay poles

02. *Notwithstanding the submitted details, the 15m high CCTV relay pole to be located at Tanners Bank shall be finished in a green colour (RAL 6005) and the 15m high CCTV relay pole to be located at Poplar Road shall be finished in aircraft grey colour (BS4800 18 B 25) , unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.*

Reason; In order to prevent undue detrimental visual impact on its surroundings

Control of signal frequency

03. *Before the CCTV relay poles are brought into operation precise details shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority of the means by which the frequency of the 2 relay poles signals will be controlled and monitored to prevent any interference with the signals of appliances of the occupants of surrounding residential properties. Thereafter the 2 relay poles shall be operated in complete accordance with the details of control as approved, unless agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.*

Reason: In the interests of the amenity of occupants of residential properties and to comply with saved Policy GP1 of the Stockton on Tees Local Plan.

Removal of equipment

04. *The 2 CCTV relay poles and all other associated apparatus and equipment shall be removed from the site within three months of the date that the poles are no longer required for CCTV purposes and have ceased to operate.*

Reason; In the interests of the visual amenity of the area and to comply with saved Policy GP1 of the Stockton on Tees Local Plan.

INFORMATIVES

General Policy Conformity

The proposed scheme is considered to be of a suitable design for the respective areas and the poles are of a scale that are in keeping with surrounding area and would not have any undue impact on the amenity or privacy of any adjacent neighbours. It is considered that the proposals will not adversely affect existing landscape features or lead to an adverse loss of highway or public safety. It is also considered that the proposed scheme will not give rise to any adverse health and safety implications or affect the frequency of the signal to domestic appliances. It is therefore considered that the proposed scheme accords with the principles of saved Policy GP1 and that there are no other material considerations which suggest the application should be determined otherwise.

Minor Works, poles near trees

A trial hole should be hand dug carefully and if any roots greater than 25mm or numerous smaller fibrous roots are encountered the hole should be back filled with the existing soil and a new position for the post hole located where few roots or no roots are found. The pole should preferably be located outside the canopy spread of the trees to minimise root damage and reduce the need for pruning of branches. The applicant should contact the Council's Tree Officer for further guidance.

PROPOSAL

1. This application seeks planning permission for the erection of 2 15m high CCTV relay poles; 1 of the poles will be located at Tanners Bank, Aislaby Road, Eaglescliffe, with the second pole will be located at Poplar Road, Eaglescliffe.
2. The poles will measure a maximum of 15m in height x 0.35m in width x 0.4m and do not contain any camera equipment.
3. The CCTV network for Stockton operates on a wireless network, with images being transmitted from both permanent and mobile cameras back to the Security and Surveillance Centre in Stockton Town Centre by Wireless Fidelity (WiFi). WiFi technology is based on IEEE 802.11 standards, which are those ratified by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.
4. The proposed relay poles would receive digital data from the permanent or mobile cameras and transmit this data to one of the WiFi nodes that are positioned on the Council's taller buildings (Hume House, Anson House, Dawson House). The WiFi nodes then transmit the data back to the Security and Surveillance Centre for monitoring.
5. The applicant has stated within the submitted Design and Access Statement that the poles are required to be 15m in height to enable a reach above the tree canopy.
6. The applicant has indicated that the proposed relay pole to be located at Tanners Bank, is to be finished in green whilst the 1 relay pole at Poplar Road is to be finished in 'aircraft grey'.

CONSULTATIONS

7. The following Consultations were notified and any comments received are set out below:-

Parish Council

8. The application was discussed at our 7th January meeting and no comments are to made

Acting Head of Technical Services

General Summary

9. Urban Design has no objections subject to the additional comments below.

Highways Comments

10. Comments as previous memo.

Landscape & Visual Comments

11. Comments in The previous memo were as follows - The poles should be located so as not to affect existing trees or cause to require additional maintenance/pruning.

12. I attach wording as guidance in the informative section below.

INFORMATIVE; MINOR WORKS e.g. POLES NEAR TREES

A trial hole should be hand dug carefully and if any roots greater than 25mm or numerous smaller fibrous roots are encountered the hole should be back filled with the existing soil and a new position for the post hole located where few roots or no roots are found. The pole should preferably be located outside the canopy spread of the trees to minimise root damage and reduce the need for pruning of branches.

Councillor J Fletcher

13. I am one of the ward councillors supporting the use of part of our Community Participation Budget for this, so I have a predisposition towards it. On the information available to me, I have no objections.

Environmental Health Unit

14. I have noted the report regarding the health concerns involved with these two masts and agree with these comments. The current Health Protection Agency advice is that there is no consistent evidence to date that WiFi adversely affects the health of the general population. The signals are very low power and exposures are well within internationally accepted (ICNIRP) guidelines. Based on current knowledge and experience, radio frequency (RF) exposures from WiFi are likely to be lower than those from mobile phones. Also, the frequencies used in WiFi are broadly the same as those from traditional RF applications.

15. Given this, due to the uncertainty regarding new technologies a precautionary approach is appropriate in site location. However, unlike mobile phone masts the siting of these relay poles are more specific if they are to be suitable for purpose. On balance the benefits clearly outweigh the very low potential risk and so Environmental Health has no objection to the application.

PUBLICITY

16. Neighbours were notified and any comments received are below (if applicable):-

Mr and Mrs Fields

2 Marion Avenue Eaglescliffe

17. As a resident who lives near to the proposed site on Poplar Road I realise that there would be significant benefit to having mobile CCTV coverage of the Yarm/Eaglescliffe area but I have concerns over a number of issues with these masts.

- a. Are there any health concerns over the type of signals used by these masts, I cannot find any specific detail on the types or strength of broadcasting signal to be used by this system?
- b. Could the signals interfere with WiFi, mobile phone, or digital transmitter/receiver equipment (e.g. Digital/Analogue TV, Radio, CCTV or Telephones) in nearby homes?

- c. Could the mast be mounted closer to existing masts used by Mobile phone companies (e.g. behind TESCO or on top of Egglecliffe School Boiler tower), rather than introduce even more street furniture that could cause serious injury in the event of a car/motorcycle collision at the junction with Seymour Grove

A second response was received from Mr and Mrs Fields

18. After reading the response in the document entitled 'CCTV Relay Poles' (dated 13th Jan 10) I still have concerns over the effect of introducing a high power WiFi transmitter within a residential area. The document states that "WiFi pollution...can prevent access and interfere with the use of other access points by others..." Currently this is not an issue; however we do not know what effect the proposed relay poles will have on residents' home WiFi networks. I would like assurance that if we find any degradation to home WiFi networks that there will be compensation given to those home owners affected, to pay for any replacement or unusable equipment.

Mrs C A Hill

4 Marion Avenue Egglecliffe

19. I see the usefulness of such a system, if it will allow the Police to respond to any nuisance or incidence requiring their attendance. As the pole in Uraly Nook Road will not be seen from inside my property I have no comment to make on its visual impact.
20. The only concern I have is whether it will interfere with television and radio transmission, making viewing or listening prone to disruption or poor signalling. As I have found no information on this aspect, perhaps the installers or planners would consider this aspect and inform residents in the locality if there will be any such impact.
21. If there is a possibility of degradation to radio and or TV reception the location of this pole should be reviewed.

Mr L W Brown

2 Poplar Road Egglecliffe

22. What is the span of the camera to be, is it just to catch speeding motorists on the main road or will it have a 360 degree span to cover my property. Why not put the camera on the large lap stand and the save the taxpayers some money.

Mr and Mrs S J Dodds

Prospect House Aislaby Road

23. We wish to register our objection to the above application based on the following grounds
24. Visual Amenity - The design and access statement does not address impact on street scene both along Tanners Bank and more distant views. The proposed relay pole is in a very prominent location and totally out of scale with other dwellings and street furniture in this location. Again the design and access statement does not address views from and impact on the conservation area etc. Painting the pole green does not offer a satisfactory solution. No consideration at all appears to have been given to the adjacent conservation area.
25. Technical Information - There is no detail as to what these masts actually do. If this was a telecoms application, much more technical information would be required. The application should be withdrawn until all the residential properties adjacent/near the relay poles are provided with clear and detailed technical information, on the operation and any effect on radio, TV etc. This information should be provided by a technically competent person.
26. Validation - The planning application forms are incorrect, therefore the application should not have been valid. Question 9 should have been completed as clearly the applicant works for the council. Maintenance - No details of maintenance, the design and access statement simply states there are no access issues. This is clearly incorrect with Tanners

Bank/Aislaby Road a busy connecting road between Middleton St George and Yarm/Eaglescliffe. Therefore there are issues regarding maintenance vehicles parking on the crest of the hill at a point that narrows for the bridge.

27. 1:1250 Location Plan - Seems to indicate that the relay pole can be erected on the road itself.
28. Design and Access Statement - Extremely poor documents with no consideration to 3D views/photographs of the relay pole in its context. A 15m high pole would be approx three times taller than the existing street light and two/three times taller than adjacent residential properties. Therefore obviously the scale is incongruous for this location and has not been considered by the Urban Design Section.
29. We await confirmation that the current application will be withdrawn as it is incorrect and does not provide all the required information. If the council are minded to leave the application running and approve without satisfactorily responding to the above points then we will have to consider submitting a Judicial Review due to the number of technical errors within the application.

PLANNING POLICY

30. Where an adopted or approved development plan contains relevant policies, Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that an application for planning permissions shall be determined in accordance with the Development Plan(s) for the area, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. In this case the relevant Development Plans is the Stockton on Tees Local Plan (STLP) and the Regional Spatial Strategy (RRS).
31. The following planning policies are considered to be relevant to the consideration of this application:-

Policy GP1

32. Proposals for development will be assessed in relation to the policies of the Cleveland Structure Plan and the following criteria as appropriate:
 - i. The external appearance of the development and its relationship with the surrounding area;
 - ii. The effect on the amenities of the occupiers of nearby properties;
 - iii. The provision of satisfactory access and parking arrangements;
 - iv. The contribution of existing trees and landscape features;
 - v. The need for a high standard of landscaping;
 - vi. The desire to reduce opportunities for crime;
 - vii. The intention to make development as accessible as possible to everyone;
 - viii. The quality, character and sensitivity of existing landscapes and buildings;
 - ix. The effect upon wildlife habitats;
 - x. The effect upon the public rights of way network.

SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

33. This application relates to the erection of a 15m high CCTV relay pole at Tanners Bank, Aislaby Road, Eaglescliffe and at Poplar Road, Eaglescliffe, Stockton on Tees.
34. The proposed 15m high CCTV relay pole at Tanners Bank will be located on the south side of the highway (Aislaby Road) and will be adjacent to the bridge over the railway. The proposal will be erected against a backdrop of mature trees and planting and will be located next an existing street lighting column and a mature tree. The residential properties of

Prospect House and Prospect Cottage are located to the North West with the railway line to the south of the site.

35. The proposed pole at Poplar Road would be located on a small strip of grassed land, which lies adjacent to the highways of Uray Nook Road (west) and Poplar Road (east). To the north are the residential properties of Marion Avenue, to the east is No's 1 - 6 (inclusive) Poplar Road, to the south west are properties located along Seymour Grove and to the North West is Eggescliffe School and Arts College. A number of street lighting columns are present within vicinity of the site along Uray Nook Road.

MATERIAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

36. The main planning considerations of this application are the impact on visual and residential amenity including the impact on privacy, and the impact on existing landscaping features within the site. Other considerations include the impact on highway and public safety and any residual matters.

Principle of Development

37. The application sites do not have specific designations within the adopted Stockton on Tees Local Plan; however the proposal will be assessed against saved policy GP1.
38. The applicant has stated within the submitted Design and Access Statement that Local Ward Councillors had requested that mobile CCTV cameras be positioned on nearby street lighting columns within Yarm and Eggescliffe following concerns regarding incidents of anti-social behaviour in these areas. However, the applicant has confirmed that this was not feasible for a number of reasons but primarily as a signal could not be relayed back to the Security and Surveillance Centre to monitor images due to Yarm High Street being located within a valley and the amount of tree coverage across Eggescliffe preventing a line of sight being available to relay information to WiFi nodes. The introduction of 2 relay poles at strategic points will facilitate in overcoming this issue of relaying the digital data back to the main centre.
39. The principle of the development for monitoring and responding to anti-social behaviour is therefore considered to be acceptable in this instance.

Impact on visual amenity

40. The requirement for the 2 proposed CCTV relay poles to be 15m in height is to enable the poles reach above the tree canopy to receive the digital data. A letter of objection has been received from the neighbouring property at Prospect House, who objects to the siting and location of the proposal at Tanners Bank, which is considered to be out of scale with the existing dwellings and street furniture.

Tanners Bank site

41. Although the proposal will be visible, given that the design of the proposal is a slim monopole, that the proposal will be located next to an existing lighting column, and will be partly screened to the south by a backdrop of mature screen planting and trees, it is not considered that the proposal will introduce a significant incongruous feature into the surrounding area or lead to an increase in street clutter within the area.
42. Taking into account the design of the proposal and the large mature trees and areas of dense shrub planting, which create a characteristic landscape background within this location, the proposed pole will be finished in a green colour, which would help minimise

any visual impact and would be in keeping with this location. The finishing colour of the relay pole can be secured by a planning condition.

43. In addition, given that the proposal will be located approximately 48m from Prospect House (north west) and approximately 30m to No's 1-12 Meynell House (north east) and Units 1, 2 and 3 Station House (east), it is considered that the proposal will not lead to a significant adverse loss of visual amenity in terms of outlook for neighbouring properties.
44. Due to the presence of the above mentioned lighting column, the presence of existing landscape features, and the separation distances from neighbouring residential properties, it is considered that the proposed 15m high CCTV relay pole is acceptable in this location, will have a minimal impact on the visual amenity of the surrounding area and will not introduce a significant obtrusive element into the surrounding area or the adjacent Yarm Conservation Area and therefore accords with saved policy GP1.

Poplar Road site

45. The proposal will be located on a small strip of land, adjacent to highways to the north, east and west. In addition, there are several street lighting columns that are aligned along Uray Nook Road, whilst there is a lighting column within Poplar Road (east) and a lighting column within Marion Avenue (north).
46. Although the proposal will be visible, given that the design of the proposal is a slim monopole, that the proposal is of a similar scale and design to the existing street lighting columns, and will be finished in an 'aircraft grey' colour to match the existing street lighting columns, it is not considered that the proposal in this location will introduce a significant incongruous feature into the surrounding area or lead to an increase in street clutter within the area.
47. In addition, given that the proposal will be located approximately 21m from the residential properties along Poplar Road, 40m from the properties along Marion Way, 30m from the properties within Seymour Grove (with the presence of a busy highway in between) and over 50m to Eggescliffe School and Arts College, it is considered that the proposal will not lead to a significant adverse loss of visual amenity in terms of outlook for adjacent neighbouring properties.
48. Given the presence of the above mentioned existing street lighting columns and separation distances from neighbouring residential properties, it is considered that the proposed 15m high CCTV relay pole is acceptable in this location, will have a minimal impact on the visual amenity of the surrounding area and will not introduce a significant obtrusive element into the surrounding area and therefore accords with saved policy GP1.

Impact on residential amenity

49. Taking into account the above mentioned separation distances from the proposal's and the respective neighbouring properties at the two different sites, and that the poles do not contain any cameras, it is considered that the proposal will not lead to an adverse loss of amenity in terms of loss of outlook, overlooking, and overbearing for adjacent residential properties.
50. Concerns have been raised with regard to the impact of the proposed relay poles and the associated Wireless Fidelity (WiFi) signals that the relay poles use, on both health and safety grounds, and the interference with domestic digital, analogue and WiFi appliances.
51. With regard to the impact on health and safety, the Environmental Health Manager has commented that the current Health Protection Agency advice 'is that there is no consistent

evidence to date that WiFi adversely affects the health of the general population'. He has further commented that 'the signals are very low power and exposures are well within internationally accepted (ICNIRP) guidelines. Based on current knowledge and experience, radio frequency exposures from WiFi are likely to be lower than those from mobile phones. Also, the frequencies used in WiFi are broadly the same as those from traditional radio frequency applications'.

52. The Environmental Health Manager has therefore concluded that 'on balance the benefits clearly outweigh the very low potential risk and therefore Environmental Health has no objection to the application'.
53. 5 letters of representation have been received with regard to the proposed relay poles interfering with domestic digital/WiFi/analogue appliances and residential properties. A letter of representation from No 2 Marion Avenue has requested that assurances are provided so that compensation can be claimed if there is any degradation to such appliances.
54. The applicant has subsequently sought technical assurance from the engineer that would be implementing the proposed scheme. The engineer has confirmed that the CCTV unit will operate in the 5 Ghz range (5.150 Ghz to 5.850 Ghz), which is sectioned into the following 3 sub-sections;

Band A is for indoor use and is the primary band that home use type equipment should operate in;
Band B is for indoor and outdoor use, although home equipment that operates in these bands must have a dynamic frequency selection;
Band C is for outdoor use only.
55. The engineer has confirmed that the frequency for domestic use can be either 2.4 Ghz or 5 Ghz range, however if the domestic users are using 5 Ghz frequency then they should be in band A. The proposed CCTV relay poles will be using the higher frequency within band's B and C that are regulated frequencies, and this prevents interference between devices. The engineer has also confirmed that the signal to be used will be regularly monitored and maintained so that it can change onto an un-used channel within the vicinity of the area should the nearby channel already be in use; the system is designed to search for empty channels, which is programmed into the software.
56. With regard to the matter of compensation for any degradation to domestic signals, the engineer has confirmed that providing that the frequency of the relay poles are operating and conforming to IEEE (Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers) Standards as intended, and that domestic appliances also conform to their own set standards, then the proposal's will not have an adverse impact on the frequency of digital, analogue, WiFi or any other domestic signals.
57. Subject to the monitoring and control of the proposal's signal frequency, which can be secured by planning condition, it is considered that the proposed scheme is acceptable and will not adversely affect the amenity of residential properties.

Impact on Existing landscape features

58. The location of the relay pole at Tanners Bank, Aislaby Road will be positioned next to existing mature trees and planting. The Council's Landscape Architect has raised no objections to the proposed scheme, commenting that the relay pole at this site should be located away from the trees to avoid damage to the root structure and that the Council's Tree Officer should advise in this respect. This guidance on root protection can be secured

by an informative on the decision notice. The proposed relay pole at Poplar Road will be located on a small strip of grass to which there are no landscaping features nearby. It is therefore considered that the proposed scheme will not have an adverse impact on existing trees.

Impact on highway and public safety

59. Both of the proposed 15m high relay poles will be located on land that lies adjacent to vehicular and pedestrian (Poplar Road site only) highways. The Acting Head of Technical Services has commented that the proposed poles will not affect visibility or the use of the highway, and has therefore raised no objections to the proposed scheme. It is therefore considered that the 2 proposed 15m high relay poles will not lead to an adverse loss of vehicular or pedestrian safety.

Residual Matters

60. With regard to site selection, potential re-siting of the relay poles, or amalgamation with telecommunication phone masts or street lighting columns, the applicant has confirmed within the additional supporting information that the Council would only be able to undertake works or erect equipment on land, which is either in its ownership or responsibility as the Highways Authority, which would be land that is on the adopted highway. It was therefore not considered feasible to mount the proposed equipment onto existing masts used by phone companies. With regard to the potential for attaching the relay poles to existing lighting columns, the size of the equipment of the proposed relay poles is too large to attach to an existing lighting column. This is considered to be acceptable in this instance.
61. The applicant has confirmed within the supporting information that the location of the pole at Tanners Bank was chosen as it provides a line of sight of Yarm High Street whilst the availability of suitable locations to enable a signal to be received from Yarm High Street is limited. The applicant has also confirmed that the location of the pole at Tanners Bank was chosen as the proposal will be located adjacent to a mature tree, which was considered to further reduce the impact of the pole from the view of the properties on Tanners Bank.
62. With regard to locating the proposal at Poplar Road on the buildings at Eggescliffe School and Arts College, the applicant has confirmed that this was considered, but ultimately the option was dismissed as this school has been included within the Building Schools for the Future programme. In addition, the applicant has noted that this location provides a line of sight to the proposed location on Tanners Bank and the wider Eggescliffe area, the shopping area on Durham Lane, at which there have been recent reported incidents of anti-social behaviour, and the WiFi node on Anson House, Thornaby.
63. This is considered to be acceptable and as previously noted within the main body of the report, the siting of the 2 proposed CCTV relay poles are considered to be acceptable.
64. The letter of objection from Prospect House (Aislaby Road) has commented that there are no technical details of what purpose the proposed relay poles serve, that there are no details of the maintenance of the poles, and that the submitted Design and Access Statement is inadequate. The applicant has subsequently submitted additional supporting information, to which all neighbours were re-consulted. This additional information provides further technical details of the process of the CCTV relay poles relaying the digital data back to the Security and Surveillance Centre via strategically positioned WiFi nodes. With regard to the maintenance of the poles in the interests of highway safety, the applicant has confirmed that any required maintenance will be carried out in a safe manor using an

access platform and appropriate traffic management. The supporting additional information is therefore considered to be acceptable.

65. The letter of objection from Prospect House also states that question 9 (relation to council employee/member) of the submitted application form has been completed incorrectly as the applicant does not state the relation to a member of the Council. They also object to the proposal on the basis that the submitted location plan (on a scale of 1:1250) indicates that the pole will be located on the actual highway. The objector therefore considers that the application should be made invalid.
66. With regard to section 9 of the application form, it is considered that the completion of the section is not applicable in this instance as the application has been submitted by Stockton on Tees Borough Council's Urban Design Team and that the application will be determined by the Planning Committee in accordance with the scheme of delegation.
67. With regard to the submitted location plans for both sites (drawing No's 2 and 4), these have been submitted at this scale as a necessary validation requirement and provide an indicative location of the pole. Two associated plans were also submitted (drawing No's 3 and 5) to show the exact location of the poles, which indicate that the poles will not be located on the vehicular highway. This is therefore considered to be satisfactory and that the application is valid.

CONCLUSION

68. It is considered that the locations of the proposed CCTV relay poles at both locations are acceptable and that the proposals will not have a significant adverse impact on the visual amenity of the respective surrounding areas or lead to a loss of amenity for respective neighbouring properties. It is considered that the proposals will not adversely affect existing landscape features or lead to an adverse loss of highway or public safety. It is further considered that the proposed scheme will not give rise to any adverse health and safety implications or adversely affect the frequency of the signal to domestic appliances. The proposed scheme is therefore judged to accord with saved policy GP1 and is therefore recommended for approval subject to the conditions set out earlier in this report.

**Corporate Director of Development and Neighbourhood Services
Contact Officer Mr Daniel James Telephone No 01642 528551**

IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: As Report

Environmental Implications: As Report

Human Rights Implications:

The provisions of the European Convention of Human Rights 1950 have been taken into account in the preparation of this report

Community Safety Implications:

The provisions of Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 have been taken into account in the preparation of this report.

WARD AND WARD COUNCILLORS

Ward

Eaglescliffe

Ward Councillor	Councillor A L Lewis
Ward	Eaglescliffe
Ward Councillor	Councillor J. A. Fletcher
Ward	Eaglescliffe
Ward Councillor	Councillor Mrs M. Rigg